

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Do. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,916		10/23/2003	Jan Herschel	H01.2-11371	H01.2-11371 6523	
490	7590	12/23/2005		EXAMINER		
VIDAS, A 6109 BLUE		& STEINKRAUS,	SICONOLFI, ROBERT			
SUITE 200		DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNETO	NKA, Mì	N 55343-9185	3683			

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/691,916	HERSCHEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert A. Siconolfi	3683		

9	Examino	7.00						
·	Robert A. Siconolfi	3683						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co :	•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and					
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
 11. \(\sumething \) The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
13.		^ .	J. 12/19/05					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that Toomey teaches both hydraulic cylinders are actuated by the same pedal through a linakge. This refers to figure 4 of Toomey. However, figure 4 of Toomey is an alternative embodiment. Figure 1 of Toomey shows each hydraulic cylinder associated with its own actuator, as required by the claims. Applicants further argue that the second hydraulic cylinder is actuated by en emergency stop device. Toomey discloses the second cylinder 15 attached to emergency brake actuator 14. Therefore, Toomey discloses a hydraulic cylinder actuated by an emergency stop device/actuator. Kessler teaches the electreomagnetic actuation which has the advantage of being faster than a mechanical linkage. Speed is often important in an emergency situation and therefore, the examiner feels the limitations of the claim are met..